

09/936802

JC16 Rec'd PCT/PTO SEP 14 2001

PCT/99-17

PCT/99-17

COOLING-TOWER FAN AIRFOILS

By

James L. Tangler

Dan M. Somers

099536802-03222002

PCT/99-17

11/pts

-1-

COOLING-TOWER FAN AIRFOILS

Technical Field.

This invention relates to the field of cooling-tower fans and specifically to a family of
5 airfoils for use on the blades of such fans.

Background Art.

Large ducted fans are commonly used in the cooling towers of electric utilities to
remove heat from the cooling water of heat exchangers. These fans are made up of four to
10 twelve blades which range from 5 to 20 feet (1.5 to 6.1 meters) in length. A standard twelve
foot (3.7 meter) blade employing the NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil from root to tip has been the
most commonly used blade in cooling tower applications. This airfoil is 15% chord thick, and
it is designed for an operating lift coefficient of 0.6 with a low-drag-range that extends from a
lift coefficient of 0.4 to 0.8. It was initially designed in the early 1940's for use in general
15 aviation and has been in use over the past 50 years. As a result, certain prior art design
objectives have evolved over the course of these years.

The moist environment found in cooling tower applications causes soiling and leading
edge corrosion of the fan blades. These conditions result in a roughness effect that reduces
the overall aerodynamic performance and efficiency of the fan. Thus, one design objective
20 has been to improve the aerodynamic performance and reduce the sensitivity to roughness
under these conditions, while operating at the maximum lift coefficient ($c_{l,max}$), in order to
lower the power requirements on the system.

Optimization of the blade geometry and duct designs for large ducted fans would
minimize the power that is required for a given thrust level or an associated pressure
25 increase. One way to increase the thrust-to-power ratio (T/P) is to reduce the drag coefficient
of the blade's airfoils to cause a reduction in the power required to drive the blade. A
maximum power reduction of 5% has been associated with zero profile drag for the blade.
However, because zero drag cannot be accomplished, a realistic drag loss objective could
result in a 2% power reduction.

30 The tip airfoil should be thin enough to provide low drag, but should also provide a
maximum lift-to-drag ratio (l/d) at high values of lift coefficient to minimize blade solidity.
In the hub region, blade-element performance predictions have indicated the presence of low

blade angles of attack. As a result, the root airfoil should produce a lift high coefficient at zero angle of attack. Designing new airfoils, having a minimal sensitivity to roughness, is therefore desirable should the blade operate in a stalled condition. Stalled conditions are usually caused either by an unsteady inflow or the low air density which is encountered when 5 operating the fans at high temperatures.

One of the most desirable design objectives for good performance with a ducted fan is to satisfy the free-vortex flow condition. A fan satisfying the free vortex flow condition has the product of induced inplane swirl velocity and radius being constant along the span of the blade. This causes the radial pressure gradient to balance the centrifugal forces on the fluid 10 and eliminates spanwise (radial) flow and losses due to turbulent mixing. The free-vortex condition dictates the product of local blade chord and lift coefficient. The product of these two parameters results in the necessary radial loading and the resulting fan thrust. The airfoil lift coefficient is derived for known inlet conditions of advance ratio, blade pitch, and twist angle. Therefore, either a value of lift coefficient or chord must be chosen and the other is 15 calculated to provide an optimum combination along the span.

Near the tip region high values of lift coefficient increase the T/P ratio of the fan. Therefore, the operating lift coefficient is selected to coincide with the airfoil's best l/d ratio and the product of the lift coefficient and chord are selected in order to design the fan to a specific thrust, for a given diameter and number of blades.

20 Near the hub the blade requires high twist to achieve a positive angle of attack. Unlike the tip, it becomes undesirable to twist the blade root toward $c_{l,max}$ and the solidity or blade chord must also increase to satisfy the free-vortex condition. Special care must be taken in the design so that the solidity does not become excessive resulting in adverse "cascade" losses.

25 In view of the foregoing considerations there is an apparent need to satisfy the foregoing design objectives by providing an airfoil useful in a large tapered/twisted fan blade application but which has an improved aerodynamic performance over the prior art. Improvements in the aerodynamic characteristics are needed to provide an advanced airfoil having a maximum lift coefficient ($c_{l,max}$) that is designed to be largely insensitive to the 30 effects of roughness and allows a lower solidity blade with lower cascade flow losses.

Disclosure of Invention.

Accordingly, it is an object of this invention to provide an airfoil family having an improved aerodynamic performance but which demonstrates a reduced sensitivity to roughness when operating at $c_{l,\max}$.

5 Another object of the invention is to provide an airfoil design that allows a lower solidity blade with lower cascade losses, lighter weight and greater cost efficiency.

It is yet another object of the invention to increase the performance gain of a fan by providing a new airfoil resulting in a 0.2 higher c_l for a given airfoil angle of attack which allows an 18% blade chord reduction for a 2000 LB (8900 newton) fan thrust.

10 These and other objects of the present invention will become apparent throughout the description of the invention that now follows. Unless specifically defined otherwise, all technical or scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Although any methods and materials similar or equivalent to those described herein can be used in the practice or testing 15 of the present invention, the preferred methods and materials are now described.

Briefly, a family of airfoils is provided for a blade of a cooling-tower fan, wherein the blade has a root region and a tip region, the family of airfoils comprises an airfoil in the root region of the blade having a Reynolds number of 500,000, and an airfoil in the tip region of the blade having a Reynolds number of 1,000,000, and wherein each airfoil is characterized 20 by a maximum lift coefficient that is largely insensitive to roughness effects.

Brief Description of Drawings.

Figure 1 is a profile of the prior art airfoil, and the airfoil family according to the present invention.

Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention.I. Airfoil Performance Prediction.

An analysis method of Borst was used to assess the performance of the prior art NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil and to identify the aerodynamic improvements of the invention herein. Borst, Henry V., "A New Blade Element Method for Calculating the Performance of High and Intermediate Solidity Axial Flow Fans," NASA-CR-3063, 1979. The Borst

analysis method uses a rigid-wake model in conjunction with a cascade theory to provide a blade-element analysis method able to use two-dimensional airfoil data.

$$\sigma c_l = 2\cos(\beta_1 - \alpha_i) [\tan \beta_1 - \tan(\beta_1 - 2\alpha_i)] K(x)/K(x)_{\text{infinity}} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

5

In Eq. 1, σ is the local blade solidity; c_l is the section lift coefficient; β_1 is the inflow angle; α_i is the induced angle of attack that results from wake-induced inplane swirl; x is the non-dimensional radius; and $K(x)$ is Theodorson's circulation function. $K(x)$ is a function of the number of blades, the wake advance ratio, and the radial position of the blade. $K(x)_{\text{infinity}}$ is

- 10 Theodorson's circulation function for a fan having an infinite number of blades. The values of $K(x)$ can be found using graphs from Borst, which were created using the rigid, helical-wake model of Gray and Wright. Gray, Robin B., and Terry Wright, "Determination of the Design Parameters for Optimum Heavily Loaded Ducted Fans," AIAA/AHS VTOL Research, Design, and Operations Meeting, February 17-19, 1969, AIAA Paper No. 69-222;
- 15 Gray, Robin B., and Terry Wright, "A Vortex Wake Model for Optimum Heavily Loaded Ducted Fans," Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 7, No. 2, March-April 1970. The other main equation (Eq. 2) relates the flow angle and the induced angle to an equivalent two-dimensional angle of attack.

20

$$\alpha = \beta_1 - \phi - \alpha_i \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

In Eq. 2, ϕ is the angle between the chord line and the plane of rotation. For a given blade, the equivalent two-dimensional angle of attack can be calculated knowing the induced angle of attack.

- 25 This method proceeds with the selection of an induced angle of attack that results for wake-induced, inplane swirl. Using this value, the values of σc_l are calculated using Eq. 1, directly, and Eq. 2 to find α for use with the two-dimensional airfoil data. The value of α_i is iterated upon until it results in an angle of attack and lift distribution that is compatible with the strength of the rigid-wake model. Equations 3 and 4 are then integrated to solve the
30 blade-element equations for thrust and torque.

$$T' = \frac{1}{2} \rho W^2 B c (c_l \cos \phi - c_d \sin \phi) \text{ (Eq. 3)}$$

$$Q'/r = \frac{1}{2} \rho W^2 B c (c_l \cos \phi + c_d \cos \phi) \text{ (Eq. 4)}$$

Certain simplifying assumptions have been associated with this method. The rigid, helical-wake assumption implies that the duct has a constant area in the axial direction and
5 the fan is optimally loaded. The method assumes that there is no axial, induced velocity at the fan disc and that the airfoil's lift force is reacted by a pressure change. This technique also assumes that there are no duct- or hub-induced velocities.

It is further assumed that there is no flow about the blade tip or across blade stations. Therefore, secondary flow losses are not quantified. Application of the method to the
10 invention herein also assumes that there is no acceleration or deceleration of the flow in the wake. In other words, the rotor advance ratio and the wake advance ratio were assumed to be equal.

II. Performance Characteristics and Geometry.

Figure 1 is a profile of the prior art NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil (10). The upper surface
15 of the airfoil (10) is shown at (12) and the lower surface at (13). The leading edge of the airfoil is at (14) and the trailing edge is at (15). The chord is shown at line (11). The NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil has a thickness of 15%.

Figure 1 is also a profile of the tip airfoil (20), according to the present invention,
relative to the prior art NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil (10). The upper surface of the tip airfoil (20)
20 is shown at (22) and the lower surface at (23). The leading edge of the tip airfoil is at (24) and the trailing edge is at (25). The chord is shown at line (21). The tip airfoil has a thickness of 10% chord.

The specific geometric tailoring of the tip airfoil (20) of Figure 1 is given in the form
25 of the following table of coordinates. The x/c values are dimensionless locations along the blade chord line (21). They are given for both the upper (22) and lower (23) surfaces. The y/c values are the dimensionless heights from the chord line (21) to points either on the upper or lower surface.

TIP AIRFOIL 10%

UPPER SURFACE

x/c	y/c
1.00000	0.00000
0.99670	0.00088
0.98716	0.00373

	0.97222	0.00863
	0.95269	0.01521
	0.92905	0.02278
	0.90137	0.03076
5	0.86962	0.03901
	0.83410	0.04761
	0.79539	0.05651
	0.75405	0.06552
	0.71067	0.07440
10	0.66582	0.08287
	0.62009	0.09058
	0.57397	0.09708
	0.52766	0.10192
	0.48128	0.10496
15	0.43504	0.10625
	0.38928	0.10586
	0.34435	0.10391
	0.30064	0.10051
	0.25854	0.09581
20	0.21849	0.08997
	0.18089	0.08313
	0.14614	0.07541
	0.11457	0.06695
	0.08648	0.05789
25	0.06211	0.04839
	0.04163	0.03863
	0.02516	0.02886
	0.01280	0.01937
	0.00455	0.01054
30	0.00047	0.00297
	0.00003	0.00066

LOWER SURFACE

	x/c	y/c
35	0.00004	-0.00070
	0.00037	-0.00179
	0.00120	-0.00266
	0.00254	-0.00346
	0.00771	-0.00536
40	0.02065	-0.00762
	0.03926	-0.00898
	0.06332	-0.00945
	0.09261	-0.00909
	0.12682	-0.00800
45	0.16562	-0.00627
	0.20860	-0.00402
	0.25530	-0.00138

0.30519 0.00152
0.35772 0.00455
0.41227 0.00755
0.46821 0.01041
5 0.52486 0.01296
0.58152 0.01510
0.63745 0.01667
0.69190 0.01759
0.74412 0.01779
10 0.79336 0.01725
0.83888 0.01593
0.87997 0.01390
0.91590 0.01120
0.94594 0.00809
15 0.96955 0.00501
0.98647 0.00240
0.99662 0.00063
1.00000 0.00000

20 Figure 1 is also a profile of the root airfoil (30), according to the present invention,
relative to the prior art NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil (10). The upper surface of the root airfoil is
shown at (32) and the lower surface at (33). The leading edge of the root airfoil is at (34) and
the trailing edge is at (35). The root airfoil has a thickness of 14% chord

25 The specific geometric tailoring of the root airfoil (30) of Figure 1 is given in the
form of the following table of coordinates. The x/c values are dimensionless locations along
the blade chord line (31). They are given for both the upper (32) and lower (33) surfaces.
The y/c values are the dimensionless heights from the chord line (31) to points either on the
upper or lower surface.

ROOT AIRFOIL 14%

30 UPPER SURFACE

	x/c	y/c
	1.00000	0.00000
	0.99662	0.00114
	0.98703	0.00476
35	0.97233	0.01078
	0.95346	0.01852
	0.93085	0.02701
	0.90436	0.03546
	0.87375	0.04370
40	0.83919	0.05188
	0.80116	0.05998
	0.76012	0.06785
	0.71657	0.07535

	0.67101	0.08232
	0.62395	0.08859
	0.57590	0.09397
	0.52735	0.09831
5	0.47876	0.10147
	0.43059	0.10333
	0.38330	0.10381
	0.33728	0.10284
	0.29293	0.10039
10	0.25059	0.09648
	0.21061	0.09119
	0.17330	0.08462
	0.13897	0.07691
	0.10792	0.06822
15	0.08040	0.05875
	0.05665	0.04869
	0.03685	0.03828
	0.02116	0.02780
	0.00968	0.01758
20	0.00256	0.00808
	0.00019	0.00179

LOWER SURFACE

	x/c	y/c
25	0.00000	-0.00004
	0.00021	-0.00165
	0.00093	-0.00316
	0.00215	-0.00470
	0.00374	-0.00627
30	0.01354	-0.01266
	0.02846	-0.01889
	0.04821	-0.02465
	0.07252	-0.02979
	0.10113	-0.03414
35	0.13371	-0.03759
	0.16991	-0.04003
	0.20931	-0.04131
	0.25153	-0.04120
	0.29632	-0.03951
40	0.34354	-0.03619
	0.39294	-0.03140
	0.44418	-0.02524
	0.49710	-0.01784
	0.55160	-0.00978
45	0.60714	-0.00186
	0.66285	0.00525
	0.71775	0.01102

0.77079	0.01508
0.82084	0.01719
0.86679	0.01718
0.90735	0.01506
0.94113	0.01136
0.96729	0.00713
0.98565	0.00340
0.99645	0.00088
1.00000	0.00000

10

Industrial Applicability.

Table 1 summarizes the predicted performance characteristics for these new airfoils relative to the baseline prior art NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil.

Airfoil	NACA 63 ₂ - 615 (tip airfoil/root airfoil)	S905 (tip airfoil)	S904 (root airfoil)
Station Reynolds Number	1,000,000/500,000	1,000,000	500,000
Thickness Ratio	15%/15%	10%	14%
C _{l,max}	1.25/1.20	1.50	1.50
C _l at 0 angle of attack	0.536/0.536	0.745	0.723
C _l at lower limit of low-drag range	0.20/0.20	0.65	0.05
C _l at upper limit of low-drag range	1.20/1.20	1.20	1.15
Drag coefficient at design c _l	0.009/0.010	0.007	0.008

In Table 1, the tip airfoil has less thickness than the baseline NACA 63₂ - 615 (10% versus 15%). This reduction in thickness results in a lower minimum drag (0.007 versus 0.009). At the design Reynolds number, the tip airfoil also has a higher $c_{l,\max}$ (1.50 versus 1.256). The root airfoil is slightly thinner than the NACA 63₂ - 615 and has less drag in the root region (0.008 versus 0.010). It also has a larger c_l at zero angle of attack and a greater $c_{l,\max}$. These improvements will lead to better performance in the root region.

Fan performance was calculated with the tip and the root airfoils using the baseline blade taper and twist geometry for the design thrust of 2000 LB (8900 newton). For the baseline blade the 2000 LB (8900 newton) thrust is achieved at a geometric pitch angle of 2°

versus 0° for the new airfoils. For these geometric blade-pitch angles, the new airfoils result in a performance gain of 1.5% for the eight-bladed 28-foot (8.534-meter) diameter fan. This gain does not take into account the gain that would be attributable to the airfoil's improved insensitivity to roughness where some measure of improvement is expected. It should be
5 noted that the geometric pitch angle is with respect to the airfoil chord line which differs from a field pitch angle setting that is normally with respect to the lower surface of the airfoil. For the NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil the field pitch setting is 4° greater than the geometric pitch angle.

Further gain is achieved by using the new airfoils with less blade chord than the
10 baseline blade. The new airfoils are designed to operate at a 0.2 higher c_l than the baseline NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil for a given blade pitch angle. One degree of blade pitch is equivalent to 0.1 c_l . This means that the new airfoils allow the blade chord to be reduced 18% by increasing the blade geometric pitch from 0° to 2° to satisfy the design thrust requirement of 2000 LB (8900 newton). The advantage of this tradeoff is that less blade chord results in less
15 dimensional blade drag and the higher pitch angle still lies well within the airfoil's low-drag range. It is also predicted that this chord reduction will increase the performance gain to 1.8% at the 2000 LB (8900 newton) design thrust.

A similar reduction in chord to 82% for the baseline blade with the NACA 63₂ - 615 airfoil requires a blade pitch of 4° to achieve the 2000 LB (8900 newton) thrust. This results
20 in a small performance gain relative to the baseline blade of 0.4%. The pitch increase from 2° to 4° is undesirable since it results in a noticeable reduction in the pitch margin to stall where fluctuating loads due to inflow variability become a problem.

An additional advantage using 18% less chord with the new airfoils is lower
25 "cascade" losses due to reduced aerodynamic interference in the root region from lower solidity and corresponding lower blade weight and cost.

The foregoing description is considered as illustrative only of the principles of the invention. Furthermore, since numerous modifications and changes will readily occur to those skilled in the art, it is not desired to limit the invention to the exact construction and process shown as described above. Accordingly, all suitable modifications and equivalents
30 may be resorted to falling within the scope of the invention as defined by the claims which follow.